

1619 Solution-Proposal Project

	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Not Sufficient
Content Focus Includes relevant content & responds to the prompt. 4 points	All information was accurate and was delivered effectively. Subject knowledge was thorough. Work responds to the solution proposal prompt.	Subject knowledge was evident. Information included details and strong examples that provided a clear answer to the solution proposal prompt.	Information was relevant, but details and examples did not address the prompt. Subject knowledge was evident, but was not used to support the solution proposal.	Information was confusing or irrelevant. Had few supporting details or examples. Did not answer the essential question. Subject knowledge was not sufficient to sufficiently respond to the solution proposal.
Organization Sequence Information logically organized 4 points	Information shows sophisticated sequencing. Information was organized so that the viewer was ready to accept, or expect, the next idea.	Information was sequenced in a logical manner. Information was organized so that the viewer was ready to accept, or expect, the next idea.	Information did not always flow logically between segments of work.	Information was not clear and did not flow in a logical order.
Research Quantity Primary and secondary sources 4 points	Included information from at least 3 reputable secondary sources. Uses at least 3 primary source materials. Work includes in-text citation: (a) APA or (b) MLA.	Included information from at least 3 secondary sources. Used at least 3 relevant primary source materials. Work includes in-text citation: (a) APA or (b) MLA.	Included factual information from at least 2 secondary sources. Used information format least 2 primary source. Work attempts in-text citation: (a) APA or (b) MLA.	Work did not have sufficient information from any sources. Included only 1 secondary primary source. Work does not attempt in-text citation.
Design Creativity and Originality Creative design and original artwork 4 points	Design was unique, interesting, and clear. Content demonstrates at least 2-3 hours of work. Appears as a polished final copy ready to share publicly for the class or school community.	Design was creative, organized and clear. Content demonstrates at least 2-3 hours of work. Appears as a polished final copy ready to share publicly for the class or school community.	Design was somewhat creative but was not executed clearly or with the attention to detail required. Content demonstrates at least 1-2 hours of work. Appears more as a rough draft assignment than a representation of student's full capabilities. Is not sufficient to share with peers.	Design was poorly executed with little effort or clarity. Content demonstrates at least under 1 hour of work. Appears more as a home-work assignment than a representation of student's full capabilities. Is not sufficient to share with peers.